arXiv:hep-th/0206253v1 28 Jun 2002

Probe Branes Dynamics in Nonconstant Background Fields

Plamen Bozhilov
Department of Theoretical and Applied Physics,
Shoumen University, 9700 Shoumen, Bulgaria
email: p.bozhilov@shu-bg.net

Abstract

We consider probe p-branes dynamics in string theory backgrounds of general type. We use an action, which interpolates between Nambu-Goto and Polyakov type actions. This allows us to give a unified description for the tensile and tensionless branes. Firstly, we perform our analysis in the frequently used static gauge. Then, we obtain exact brane solutions in more general gauges. The same approach is used to study the Dirichlet p-brane dynamics and here exact solutions are also found. As an illustration, we apply our results to the brane world scenario in the framework of the mirage cosmology approach.

Keywords: string theory, p-branes, D-branes, mirage cosmology.

1 Introduction

The classical p-brane is a p-dimensional relativistic object, which evolving in the space-time, describes a $(p+1)$-dimensional worldvolume. In this terminology, $p=0$ corresponds to a point particle, $p=1$ corresponds to a string, $p=2$ corresponds to a membrane and so on.

Every p-brane characterizes by its tension T_p with dimension of $(mass)^{p+1}$. When the tension $T_p=0$, the p-brane is called tensionless one. This relationship between the tensionless branes and the tensile ones generalizes the correspondence between massless and massive particles for the case of extended objects. Thus, the tensionless branes may be viewed as a high-energy limit of the tensile ones.

The point particle (0-brane) couples to 1-form gauge field (the electro-magnetic potential). Generalizing to arbitrary p, one obtains that the p-branes couple to $(p+1)$-form gauge potentials.

As is known, there exist five consistent superstring theories in ten dimensions: Type IIA with $N=2$ non-chiral supersymmetry, type IIB with $N=2$ chiral supersymmetry, type I with $N=1$ supersymmetry and gauge symmetry $SO(32)$ and heterotic strings with $N=1$ supersymmetry and $SO(32)$ or $E_8\times E_8$ gauge symmetry.

The superstring dynamics unify all fundamental interactions between the elementary particles, including gravity, at super high energies. The p-branes arise naturally in the superstring theory, because there exist exact brane solutions of the superstring effective equations of motion. The 2-branes and the 5-branes are the fundamental dynamical objects in eleven dimensional M-theory, which is the strong coupling limit of the five superstring theories in ten dimensions, and which low energy field theory limit is the eleven dimensional supergravity. Particular type of 3-branes arise in the Randall-Sundrum brane world scenario.

In this talk, we consider the probe p-branes dynamics in string theory backgrounds of generic type. In the probe brane limit, one neglects the back-reaction of the brane on the background fields. We use an action, which interpolates between Nambu-Goto and Polyakov type actions. Thus, we give a unified description for the tensile and tensionless branes. Our aim is to obtain the conditions on the background metric and the (p+1)-form gauge field, under which the *nonlinear* brane equations of motion and constraints can be solved exactly. Firstly, we perform our analysis in the frequently used static gauge. Then, we obtain exact brane solutions in a more general gauge. The same approach is used to study the Dirichlet p-brane dynamics and here exact solutions are also found. As an illustration, we apply our results to the brane world scenario in the framework of the mirage cosmology approach.

2 Probe p-Branes Dynamics

The Nambu-Goto type action for bosonic p-brane in a D-dimensional curved space-time with metric tensor $g_{MN}(x)$, interacting with a background (p+1)-form gauge field B_{p+1} via Wess-Zumino term, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p^{NG} &= - T_p \int d^{p+1}\xi \Big\{ \sqrt{- \det[\partial_m X^M \partial_n X^N g_{MN}(X)]} \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon^{m_1 \ldots m_{p+1}}}{(p+1)!} \partial_{m_1} X^{M_1} \ldots \partial_{m_{p+1}} X^{M_{p+1}} B_{M_1 \ldots M_{p+1}}(X) \Big\}, \\
&\quad x^M = X^M(\xi^m), \qquad \partial_m = \partial / \partial \xi^m, \\
&\quad m, n = 0, 1, \ldots, p; \qquad M, N = 0, 1, \ldots, D-1.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding Polyakov type action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p^P &= - \frac{T_p}{2} \int d^{p+1}\xi \Big\{ \sqrt{-\gamma} \left[\gamma^{mn} \partial_m X^M \partial_n X^N g_{MN}(X) - (p-1) \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \frac{\varepsilon^{m_1 \ldots m_{p+1}}}{(p+1)!} \partial_{m_1} X^{M_1} \ldots \partial_{m_{p+1}} X^{M_{p+1}} B_{M_1 \ldots M_{p+1}}(X) \Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is the determinant of the auxiliary worldvolume metric γ_{mn}, and γ^{mn} is its inverse.

None of these actions is appropriate for description of the tensionless branes. To have the possibility for unified description of the tensile and tensionless branes, we will use the action

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p &= \int d^{p+1}\xi \Big\{ \frac{1}{4\lambda^0} \Big[g_{MN}(X) \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^i \partial_i\right) X^M \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^j \partial_j\right) X^N \\
&\quad - \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det\left[g_{MN}(X) \partial_i X^M \partial_j X^N \right] \Big] \\
&\quad + T_p B_{M_0 \ldots M_p}(X) \partial_0 X^{M_0} \ldots \partial_p X^{M_p} \Big\}, \qquad (i, j = 1, \ldots, p),
\end{aligned}$$

in which the limit $T_p \to 0$ may be taken. It can be shown that this action is classically equivalent to the above two actions. The Lagrange multipliers λ^0 and λ^i are connected to the lapse function N and the shift vector N^i as follows:

$$\left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 = N^2, \qquad \lambda^i = N^i.$$

Varying the action S_p with respect to λ^m, one obtains the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{MN} \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^i \partial_i\right) X^M \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^j \partial_j\right) X^N \\
& + \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det\left[g_{MN} \partial_i X^M \partial_j X^N \right] = 0, \\
& g_{MN} \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^i \partial_i\right) X^M \partial_j X^N = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We will work in the gauge $\lambda^m = constants$, in which the equations of motion take the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{LN} \Big[\left(\partial_0 - \lambda^i \partial_i\right) \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^j \partial_j\right) X^N - \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \partial_i \left(\underline{G} G^{ij} \partial_j X^N \right) \Big] \\
& + \Gamma_{L,MN} \Big[\left(\partial_0 - \lambda^i \partial_i\right) X^M \left(\partial_0 - \lambda^j \partial_j\right) X^N \\
& - \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \underline{G} G^{ij} \partial_i X^M \partial_j X^N \Big] \\
& = 2\lambda^0 T_p H_{L M_0 \ldots M_p} \partial_0 X^{M_0} \ldots \partial_p X^{M_p},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \underline{G} = \det\left(G_{ij}\right) = \det\left(g_{MN} \partial_i X^M \partial_j X^N\right), \qquad H_{p+2} = dB_{p+1}, \\
& \Gamma_{L,MN} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_M g_{NL} + \partial_N g_{ML} - \partial_L g_{MN} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

2.1 Static Gauge

In the commonly used *static gauge*, we have the following identification: $X^m(\xi) = \xi^m$. The other part of the coordinates X^a are supposed to be functions only of $\xi^0 \equiv \tau$. The typical string theory backgrounds do not depend on x^m, so that

$$\partial g_{MN}/\partial x^m = 0, \qquad \partial B_{M_0 \ldots M_p}/\partial x^m = 0.$$

Under these conditions, the action S_p reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
S_p^{SG} &= \int d\tau L^{SG}(\tau), \qquad V_p = \int d^p \xi, \\
L^{SG} &= \frac{V_p}{4\lambda^0}\Big[g_{ab}\dot{X}^a \dot{X}^b + 2\left(g_{0a} - \lambda^i g_{ia} + 2\lambda^0 T_p B_{a1\ldots p}\right)\dot{X}^a \\
&+ g_{00} - 2\lambda^i g_{0i} + \lambda^i \lambda^j g_{ij} - \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) + 4\lambda^0 T_p B_{01\ldots p}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

To have finite action, we require the fraction V_p/λ^0 to be finite. In the string case ($p = 1$) and in conformal gauge, for example, this corresponds to have the fraction $V_1/\alpha' = 2\pi V_1 T_1$ finite.

Now, the constraints are:

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{ab}\dot{X}^a \dot{X}^b + 2\left(g_{0a} - \lambda^i g_{ia}\right)\dot{X}^a \\
& + g_{00} - 2\lambda^i g_{0i} + \lambda^i \lambda^j g_{ij} + \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) = 0, \\
& g_{ia}\dot{X}^a + g_{i0} - g_{ij}\lambda^j = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian L^{SG} does not depend on τ explicitly, so the energy E is conserved:

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{ab}\dot{X}^a \dot{X}^b - g_{00} + 2\lambda^i g_{0i} - \lambda^i \lambda^j g_{ij} + \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) \\
& -4\lambda^0 T_p B_{01\ldots p} = \frac{4\lambda^0 E}{V_p} = \mathit{constant}.
\end{aligned}$$

With the help of the constraints, we can replace this equality by the following one

$$g_{0a}\dot{X}^a + g_{00} - \lambda^i g_{i0} + 2\lambda^0 T_p B_{01\ldots p} = -\frac{2\lambda^0 E}{V_p}.$$

It can be shown that the equations of motion and all these constraints can be reduced to the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{ab}\ddot{X}^b + \Gamma_{a,bc}\dot{X}^b \dot{X}^c + \frac{1}{2}\partial_a V^{SG} = 2\partial_{[a}\mathcal{A}^{SG}_{b]}\dot{X}^b, \\
& g_{ab}\dot{X}^a \dot{X}^b + V^{SG} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{SG} &= \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) - g_{00} + 2\lambda^i g_{0i} - \lambda^i \lambda^j g_{ij} \\
&- 4\lambda^0 \left(T_p B_{01\ldots p} + E/V_p\right) \\
\mathcal{A}^{SG}_a &= g_{a0} - \lambda^i g_{ai} + 2\lambda^0 T_p B_{a1\ldots p}.
\end{aligned}$$

It turns out that for background fields depending on only one coordinate x^a, we can always integrate these equations, and the solution is

$$\tau\left(X^a\right) = \tau_0 \pm \int_{X^a_0}^{X^a} \left(-\frac{V^{SG}}{g_{aa}}\right)^{-1/2} du.$$

Otherwise, supposing the metric g_{ab} is a diagonal one, we can rewrite the equations of motion in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d\tau}\left(g_{aa}\dot{X}^a\right)^2 + \dot{X}^a \partial_a\left(g_{aa}V^{SG}\right) \\
& + \dot{X}^a \sum_{b \neq a}\left[\partial_a\left(\frac{g_{aa}}{g_{bb}}\right)\left(g_{bb}\dot{X}^b\right)^2 - 4\partial_{[a}\mathcal{A}^{SG}_{b]} g_{aa}\dot{X}^b\right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

To find solutions of the above equations without choosing particular background, we fix all coordinates X^a except one. Then the *exact* probe brane solution of the equations of motion and constraints is given again by the same expression for $\tau\left(X^a\right)$.

To find solutions depending on more than one coordinate, we have to impose further conditions on the background fields. An example of such *sufficient* conditions, which allow us to reduce the order of the equations of motion by one, is given below (we split the index a in such a way that X^r is one of the coordinates X^a, and X^α are the others):

$$\partial_\alpha\left(\frac{g_{\alpha\alpha}}{g_{aa}}\right)=0,\qquad \partial_\alpha\left(g_{rr}\dot{X}^r\right)^2=0,$$
$$\partial_r\left(g_{\alpha\alpha}\dot{X}^\alpha\right)^2=0,\qquad \mathcal{A}^{SG}_\alpha=\partial_\alpha f.$$

The result of integrations is the following

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(g_{\alpha\alpha}\dot{X}^\alpha\right)^2 &= D_\alpha\left(X^{a\neq\alpha}\right)+g_{\alpha\alpha}\left[2\left(\mathcal{A}^{SG}_r-\partial_r f\right)\dot{X}^r-V^{SG}\right]\\
&= E_\alpha\left(X^\beta\right),\\
\left(g_{rr}\dot{Z}^r\right)^2 &= g_{rr}\left\{\left(n_\alpha-1\right)V^{SG}-\sum_\alpha\frac{D_\alpha}{g_{\alpha\alpha}}\right\}+\left[n_\alpha\left(\mathcal{A}^{SG}_r-\partial_r f\right)\right]^2\\
&= E_r\left(X^r\right),\\
\dot{Z}^r &= \dot{X}^r+\frac{n_\alpha}{g_{rr}}\left(\mathcal{A}^{SG}_r-\partial_r f\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where D_α, E_α and E_r are arbitrary functions of their arguments, and n_α is the number of the coordinates X^α.

Further progress is possible, when working with particular background configurations.

2.2 Rotated Gauge

Now we will repeat our analysis of the probe p-brane dynamics in a more general gauge than the static one. Namely, our ansatz for the coordinates $X^m(\xi)$ is the following

$$X^m(\xi)=\Lambda^m_n\xi^n=\Lambda^m_0\tau+\Lambda^m_i\xi^i,\qquad \Lambda^m_n=\mathit{constants}.$$

We call this ansatz rotated gauge, because $\Lambda^m_n\xi^n$ look like rotations in the space described by the coordinates ξ^n. However, there are no restrictions on the parameters Λ^m_n. They are *arbitrary* constants. For $\Lambda^m_n=\delta^m_n$, we come back to static gauge.

In the same way as before, one obtains the Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}
L^R=\frac{V_p}{4\lambda^0}\Big\{&g_{ab}\dot{X}^a\dot{X}^b+2\Big[\left(\Lambda^n_0-\lambda^i\Lambda^n_i\right)g_{na}+2\lambda^0T_pB_a\Big]\dot{X}^a\\
&+\left(\Lambda^n_0-\lambda^i\Lambda^n_i\right)\left(\Lambda^m_0-\lambda^j\Lambda^m_j\right)g_{nm}-\left(2\lambda^0T_p\right)^2\det(\Lambda^n_i\Lambda^m_j g_{nm})\\
&+4\lambda^0T_p\Lambda^m_0B_m\Big\},\qquad B_M\equiv B_{Mm_1\ldots m_p}\Lambda^{m_1}_1\ldots\Lambda^{m_p}_p,
\end{aligned}$$

the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{ab}\dot{X}^a\dot{X}^b+2\left(\Lambda^n_0-\lambda^i\Lambda^n_i\right)g_{na}\dot{X}^a+\left(\Lambda^n_0-\lambda^i\Lambda^n_i\right)\\
&\times\left(\Lambda^m_0-\lambda^j\Lambda^m_j\right)g_{nm}+\left(2\lambda^0T_p\right)^2\det(\Lambda^n_i\Lambda^m_j g_{nm})=0,\\
&\Lambda^n_i\Big[g_{na}\dot{X}^a+\left(\Lambda^m_0-\lambda^j\Lambda^m_j\right)g_{nm}\Big]=0,
\end{aligned}$$

the conserved energy

$$\Lambda^n_0\Big[g_{na}\dot{X}^a+\left(\Lambda^m_0-\lambda^j\Lambda^m_j\right)g_{nm}+2\lambda^0T_pB_n\Big]=-\frac{2\lambda^0E}{V_p},$$

and finally, the equations of motion and the effective constraint

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{ab}\ddot{X}^b + \Gamma_{a,bc}\dot{X}^b\dot{X}^c + \frac{1}{2}\partial_a V^R = 2\partial_{[a}\mathcal{A}^R_{b]}\dot{X}^b, \\ &g_{ab}\dot{X}^a\dot{X}^b + V^R = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We see that these equalities have the same form as in static gauge, but with V^{SG}, $\mathcal{A}^{SG}_a$ replaced with V^R, $\mathcal{A}^R_a$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V^R &= \left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det(\Lambda^n_i \Lambda^m_j g_{nm}) - \left(\Lambda^n_0 - \lambda^i \Lambda^n_i\right) \\ &\times \left(\Lambda^m_0 - \lambda^j \Lambda^m_j\right) g_{nm} - 4\lambda^0 \left(T_p \Lambda^m_0 B_m + E/V_p\right), \\ \mathcal{A}^R_a &= \left(\Lambda^n_0 - \lambda^i \Lambda^n_i\right) g_{an} + 2\lambda^0 T_p B_a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the corresponding *exact* solution, depending on one of the coordinates X^a will be

$$\tau\left(X^a\right) = \tau_0 \pm \int_{X^a_0}^{X^a} \left(-\frac{V^R}{g_{aa}}\right)^{-1/2} du.$$

3 Probe Dp-Branes Dynamics

The Dirichlet p-branes are extended objects on which the open strings can end. On the other hand, they are solutions of the superstring effective equations of motion, carrying Ramond-Ramond charges.

The Dirac-Born-Infeld type action for the bosonic part of the super-Dp-brane in a D-dimensional curved space-time with metric tensor $g_{MN}(x)$, interacting with a background (p+1)-form Ramond-Ramond gauge field C_{p+1} via Wess-Zumino term, can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -T_D \int d^{p+1}\xi \Big\{ e^{-a(p,D)\Phi} \sqrt{-\det\left(G_{mn} + B_{mn} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{mn}\right)} \\ &- \frac{\varepsilon^{m_1 \ldots m_{p+1}}}{(p+1)!} \partial_{m_1} X^{M_1} \ldots \partial_{m_{p+1}} X^{M_{p+1}} C_{M_1 \ldots M_{p+1}} \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

T_D=$(2\pi)^{-(p-1)/2} g_s^{-1} T_p$ is the D-brane tension, $g_s = \exp\langle\Phi\rangle$ is the string coupling expressed by the dilaton vacuum expectation value $\langle\Phi\rangle$ and $2\pi\alpha'$ is the inverse string tension. $G_{mn} = \partial_m X^M \partial_n X^N g_{MN}(X)$, $B_{mn} = \partial_m X^M \partial_n X^N b_{MN}(X)$ and $\Phi(X)$ are the pullbacks of the background metric, antisymmetric tensor and dilaton to the Dp-brane worldvolume, while $F_{mn}(\xi)$ is the field strength of the worldvolume $U(1)$ gauge field $A_m(\xi)$. The parameter $a(p,D)$ depends on the brane and space-time dimensions p and D respectively.

To be able to take the limit $T_D \to 0$, we will work with the classically equivalent action

$$\begin{aligned} S_D &= \int d^{p+1}\xi \frac{e^{-a\Phi}}{4\lambda^0} \Big[G_{00} - 2\lambda^i G_{0i} + \left(\lambda^i\lambda^j - \kappa^i\kappa^j\right) G_{ij} \\ &- \left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det(G_{ij}) + 2\kappa^i \left(\mathcal{F}_{0i} - \lambda^j \mathcal{F}_{ji}\right) \\ &+ 4\lambda^0 T_D e^{a\Phi} C_{M_0 \ldots M_p} \partial_0 X^{M_0} \ldots \partial_p X^{M_p} \Big], \\ &\mathcal{F}_{mn} = B_{mn} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

Here additional Lagrange multipliers κ^i are introduced, in order to linearize the quadratic term

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{0i} - \lambda^k \mathcal{F}_{ki}\right) \left(G^{-1}\right)^{ij} \left(\mathcal{F}_{0j} - \lambda^l \mathcal{F}_{lj}\right)$$

in the action.

For simplicity, we restrict our considerations to constant dilaton $\Phi = \Phi_0$ and constant electromagnetic field F_{mn} on the Dp-brane worldvolume.

3.1 Static Gauge

In static gauge, the reduced Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
L_D^{SG} &= \frac{V_p e^{-a\Phi_0}}{4\lambda^0}\Big[g_{ab}\dot{X}^a\dot{X}^b + g_{00} - 2\lambda^i g_{0i} + \left(\lambda^i\lambda^j - \kappa^i\kappa^j\right)g_{ij} \\
&+ 2\left(g_{0a} - \lambda^i g_{ia} + 2\lambda^0 T_D e^{a\Phi_0} C_{a1\ldots p} + \kappa^i b_{ai}\right)\dot{X}^a \\
&- \left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) + 4\lambda^0 T_D e^{a\Phi_0} C_{01\ldots p} \\
&+ 2\kappa^i\left(b_{0i} - \lambda^j b_{ji}\right) + 4\pi\alpha'\kappa^i\left(F_{0i} - \lambda^j F_{ji}\right)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same approach as before, we obtain the equations of motion and the effective constraint, which have the previous form

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{ab}\ddot{X}^b + \Gamma_{a,bc}\dot{X}^b\dot{X}^c + \frac{1}{2}\partial_a V_D^{SG} = 2\partial_{[a}\mathcal{A}_{b]}^{DS}\dot{X}^b, \\
&g_{ab}\dot{X}^a\dot{X}^b + V_D^{SG} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where now

$$\begin{aligned}
V_D^{SG} &= \left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det(g_{ij}) - g_{00} + 2\lambda^i g_{0i} - \left(\lambda^i\lambda^j - \kappa^i\kappa^j\right)g_{ij} \\
&- 4\lambda^0 e^{a\Phi_0}\left(T_D C_{01\ldots p} + E/V_p\right) \\
&- 2\kappa^i\left(b_{0i} - \lambda^j b_{ji}\right) - 4\pi\alpha'\kappa^i\left(F_{0i} - \lambda^j F_{ji}\right), \\
\mathcal{A}_a^{DS} &= g_{a0} - \lambda^i g_{ai} + 2\lambda^0 T_D e^{a\Phi_0} C_{a1\ldots p} + \kappa^i b_{ai}.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding exact solution, depending on one of the coordinates X^a, is

$$\tau\left(X^a\right) = \tau_0 \pm \int_{X_0^a}^{X^a}\left(-\frac{V_D^{SG}}{g_{aa}}\right)^{-1/2} du.$$

3.2 Rotated Gauge

In the same way, one obtains the exact probe Dp-brane solution in this gauge. It is given by the above equality for $\tau\left(X^a\right)$, where instead of V_D^{SG} we have to use the potential

$$\begin{aligned}
V_D^R &= \left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det(\Lambda_i^n\Lambda_j^m g_{nm}) - \Big[\left(\Lambda_0^n - \lambda^i\Lambda_i^n\right)\left(\Lambda_0^m - \lambda^j\Lambda_j^m\right) \\
&- \kappa^i\kappa^j\Lambda_i^n\Lambda_j^m\Big]g_{nm} - 4\lambda^0 e^{a\Phi_0}\left(T_D\Lambda_0^m C_m + E/V_p\right) \\
&- 2\kappa^i\Lambda_i^m\left(\Lambda_0^n - \lambda^j\Lambda_j^n\right)b_{nm} - 4\pi\alpha'\kappa^i\left(F_{0i} - \lambda^j F_{ji}\right), \\
&\ C_m = C_{mm_1\ldots m_p}\Lambda_1^{m_1}\ldots\Lambda_p^{m_p}.
\end{aligned}$$

4 Application to Mirage Cosmology

The idea of the mirage cosmology approach [1] - [16] to the brane world model is the following [2]. The motion of the brane universe in a curved higher dimensional bulk space induces a cosmological evolution on the universe brane that is indistinguishable from a similar one induced by matter density on the brane. It can be shown that the motion of the probe brane in ambient space induces cosmological expansion or contraction on our universe simulating various kinds of "matter" or a cosmological constant (inflation).

There are two steps in the procedure:

1. Determine the probe brane motion by solving the worldvolume field equations.
2. Determine the induced metric on the brane which now becomes an implicit function of time. This gives a cosmological evolution in the induced brane metric. This cosmological evolution can be reinterpreted in terms of cosmological "mirage" energy densities on the brane via a Friedman-like equation for the scale factor **a**:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{a}}\frac{d\mathbf{a}}{d\eta}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}\rho_{eff}.$$

In addition, one defines the effective pressure p_{eff} trough the equality

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{a}}\frac{d^2\mathbf{a}}{d\eta^2} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}\left(\rho_{eff} + 3p_{eff}\right).$$

We showed already how the brane equations of motion and constraints can be solved exactly. The induced line element on the brane is

$$ds^2 = G_{mn}dx^m dx^n = G_{00}(dx^0)^2 + 2G_{0j}dx^0 dx^j + G_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

Introducing the cosmic time η by the relation

$$d\eta = \sqrt{-G_{00}}dx^0,$$

one obtains

$$ds^2 = -d\eta^2 + 2\frac{G_{0j}}{\sqrt{-G_{00}}}d\eta dx^j + G_{ij}dx^i dx^j.$$

Now, let us give the metrics induced on the brane in the different cases considered. In static gauge, we have the following p-brane metric

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{00} = -\left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det\left(g_{ij}\right) + \lambda^i\lambda^j g_{ij}, \\ &G_{0j} = \lambda^i g_{ij}, \qquad G_{ij} = g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding generalization for the Dp-brane metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00} &= -\left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det\left(g_{ij}\right) + \left(\lambda^i\lambda^j - \kappa^i\kappa^j\right) g_{ij} \\ &- 2\lambda^i\kappa^j\left(b_{ij} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ij}\right), \\ G_{0j} &= \lambda^i g_{ij} + \kappa^i\left(b_{ij} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ij}\right), \qquad G_{ij} = g_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

In rotated gauge, the induced p-brane metric is

$$\begin{aligned} &G_{00} = -\left(2\lambda^0 T_p\right)^2 \det\left(\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}\right) + \lambda^i\lambda^j\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}, \\ &G_{0j} = \lambda^i\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}, \qquad G_{ij} = \Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same gauge, the metric induced on the Dp-brane is the following

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00} &= -\left(2\lambda^0 T_D\right)^2 \det\left(\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}\right) + \left(\lambda^i\lambda^j - \kappa^i\kappa^j\right)\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn} \\ &- 2\lambda^i\kappa^j\left(\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n b_{mn} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ij}\right), \\ G_{0j} &= \lambda^i\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn} + \kappa^i\left(\Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n b_{mn} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ij}\right), \\ G_{ij} &= \Lambda_i^m\Lambda_j^n g_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

We will not consider here any particular mirage cosmology. We just mention that the obtained results allow us to find exact probe branes solutions in more general background fields and in more general gauges, than known so far. Therefore, we can induce more general metrics on the probe brane, and investigate the corresponding mirage cosmology. For example, we can consider generalized Kasner type metrics, which appear in the superstring cosmology [17].

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by Shoumen University under Grant No.005/2002.

References

[1] Kiritsis, E. (1999) Supergravity, D-brane Probes and Thermal Super Yang-Mills: a Comparison, *JHEP* **9910** 010; *hep-th/9906206.*

[2] Kehagias, A., Kiritsis, E. (1999) Mirage Cosmology, *JHEP* **9911** 022; *hep-th/9910174.*

[3] Kiritsis, E. (1999) Mirage Cosmology and Universe-Brane Stabilization, In *Proceedings of the TMR Meeting on Quantum Aspects of Gauge Theories, Supersymmetry and Unification, Sept 1-7, 1999.*

[4] Papantonopoulos, E., Pappa, I. (2000) Type 0 Brane Inflation from Mirage Cosmology, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **15** 2145 –2152; *hep-th/0001183.*

[5] Kim, J. (2000) Dilaton Driven Brane Inflation in Type IIB String Theory, *Phys.Rev.D* **62** 065002; *hep-th/0004155.*

[6] Papantonopoulos, E. (2000) Brane Inflation from Mirage Cosmology, Invited talk at *9th Marcel Grossmann Meeting on Recent Developments in Theoretical and Experimental General Relativity, Gravitation and Relativistic Field Theories (MG 9), Rome, Italy, 2-9 Jul 2000*; *hep-th/0011051.*

[7] Kim, J. (2001) Brane Inflation in Tachyonic and Nontachyonic Type 0B String Theories, *Phys.Rev.D* **63**, 045014; *hep-th/0009175.*

[8] Papantonopoulos, E., Pappa, I. (2001) Cosmological Evolution of a Brane Universe in a Type 0 String Background, *Phys.Rev.D* **63**,103506; *hep-th/0010014.*

[9] Youm, D. (2001) Brane Cosmology in the Background of D-Brane with NS *B* Field, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** 125019; *hep-th/0011024.*

[10] Youm, D. (2001) Closed Universe in Mirage Cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** 085010; Erratum - *ibid. D* **63** 129902; *hep-th/0011290.*

[11] Steer, D., Parry, M. (2002) Brane Cosmology, Varying Speed of Light and Inflation in Models with One or More Extra Dimensions, In *Proceedings of the Peyresq-6 Meeting on Cosmological Inflation and Primordial Fluctuations. Energy desert and sub-millimeter gravity, June 23-29, 2001*; *hep-th/0201121.*

[12] Papantonopoulos, E. (2002) Brane Cosmology, Lectures given at *1st Aegean Summer School on Cosmology, Karlovassi, Greece, 21-29 Sep 2001*; *hep-th/02002044.*

[13] Kim, J. (2002) Mirage Cosmology in M-theory, *hep-th/0203084.*

[14] Brax, Ph., Steer D. (2002) Non-BPS Brane Cosmology, *JHEP* **0205** 016; *hep-th/0204120.*

[15] Kachru, S., McAllister, (2002) L. Bouncing Brane Cosmologies from Warped String Compactifications, *hep-th/0205209.*

[16] Boehm, T., Steer, D. (2002) Perturbations on a moving D3-brane and mirage cosmology, *hep-th/0206147.*

[17] Lidsey, J., Wands D., Copeland E. (2000) Superstring Cosmology, *Phys. Rep.* **337** 343; *hep-th/9909061.*